

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/753,300	YLAMURTO, TOMMI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jean B. Corrielus	2637	

All Participants:

(1) Jean B. Corrielus.

(2) Arlene Neal.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2 and 5

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's rep. agrees to amend claims 2 and 5 as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. Such amendment is necessary correct for minor informalities so as to place the application in better form for allowance

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)